

Board of Supervisors

Kim Dolbow Vann District I
John D. Loudon, District II
Mark D. Marshall, District III,
Gary J. Evans, District IV,
Vice-chair
Denise J. Carter, District V
Chair



County of Colusa

Wendy G. Tyler, CAO/Clerk to the
Board of Supervisors/Risk/Safety
Manager
Yolanda Tirado, Chief Deputy Clerk
to the Board
Ann Nordyke, Deputy Clerk to the
Board II
(530) 458-0508
(530) 458-0510
colusacountyca.iqm2.com
www.countyofcolusa.org

Colusa Industrial Properties
100 Sunrise Blvd
Colusa, CA 95932

Minutes

January 19, 2016

Joint Board of Supervisors and Groundwater Commission Workshop

The Board of Supervisors of the County of Colusa, State of California meets in Regular Session this 19th day of January 2016 at the hour of 1:00 p.m. Present: Denise J. Carter, Chair, Mark D. Marshall, Gary J. Evans, Kim Dolbow Vann and John D. Loudon. Absent: None.

Groundwater Management Commissioners present: Bruce Rolen, Chairman, Lorraine Marsh, Jeff Moresco, Matt LaGrande and Darrin Williams. Absent: None.

Chair Carter calls to order the Joint Board of Supervisors and Groundwater Commission Workshop at 1:02 p.m., with all Supervisors and Groundwater Commissioners present.

Present:

- Marcos Kropf, County Counsel.
- Wendy Tyler, CAO/Clerk to the Board.
- Mary Anne Azevedo, Assistant Ag Commissioner.
- Mary Fahey, Water Resources Coordinator.
- Grant Davis, Byron Clark, Davids Engineering, Inc.
- John Garner, Princeton Codora Irrigation District.
- Joseph Marsh, Christy Scofield, Arbuckle citizen.
- Bryan Busch, RD 108.
- Suzie Dawley, E.H. Specialist.
- Roy Hall, DWR.
- BG Arens, Grimes citizen.
- Arielle Harris, Princeton & Provident.
- Mark Atlas, PCGID/PID.
- Jim Wallace, Colusa Drain Mutual.
- Lisa Hunter, Glenn County.
- Ann Nordyke, Board Clerk.

Ms. Fahey states during the spring and summer of 2015, certain areas of Colusa County experienced significant groundwater level declines, increased demand on groundwater resources, and a series of domestic well outages. She states in response to these serious circumstances, Colusa County enacted a temporary moratorium on well permits in order to abate further impacts to domestic wells and to the groundwater resource, and to allow time to gain a better understanding of the causes of these impacts. She states Davids Engineering, Inc., was hired to complete a professional assessment of historical and current groundwater conditions throughout the county, beginning with an analysis of land and water use factors contributing to groundwater level declines in the most severely affected areas.

Ms. Fahey states Grant Davids is here to present the assessment findings to date, in order to inform the Colusa County Groundwater Commission and the Board of Supervisors prior to the Moratorium coming up for review at the end of January 2016. She states further Davids Engineering has conducted dozens of studies of agricultural land and water use in nearly all of the major agricultural regions in California, with emphasis on the Sacramento and San Joaquin Valleys, and in a number of other western states.

I. ADMINISTRATION/AG COMMISSIONER

1. Presentation by Grant Davids, Davids Engineering, Inc., reporting findings to-date from local groundwater assessment work. Related discussion to follow presentation.

Mr. Davids speaks to the following:

Objectives

He states the main objectives established for the analysis were to identify factors potentially contributing to recent groundwater level declines. He states additionally, based on the presumption that both changing land use and recent drought conditions are contributing to groundwater level declines, the County is interested in understanding the relative importance of these factors.

Methodology

He states the analysis began with a review of recent historical groundwater levels using publicly available groundwater data published by the Department of Water Resources. He states additionally land use and weather records were reviewed and based on this review, an "area of interest" (AOI) was designated. He states detailed water budgets were prepared for the AOI that describe, among other parameters, the amounts of water exchanged between the land surface and the underlying groundwater system.

He continues to states the parameter "Net Recharge" was calculated from the water budgets representing the sum of all downward flows into the groundwater system (deep percolation of precipitation, deep percolation of applied irrigation water and stream seepage) minus the upward flow via groundwater pumping. He states Net Recharge represents the net contribution of hydrologic processes in the surface layer to storage of water in the underlying groundwater system. He further states Net Recharge was analyzed with respect to different land use categories and, within the irrigated lands, for areas with different water supply sources. He continues to state these

included areas irrigated primarily with surface water, areas irrigated with a mix of surface water and groundwater, and areas irrigated primarily with groundwater. Further, comparisons of Net Recharge were made for the current "dry" period during which groundwater levels have declined, and for a preceding "normal" period during which groundwater levels were generally steady. He states the effects of land use changes were differentiated from changes in "other factors" affecting the water budgets by recalculating the water budget for the dry period assuming land use from the preceding normal period, as if land use changes that have actually occurred in recent years had not occurred.

Discussion is held.

Mr. Davids speaks further to the following:

Findings and Conclusions

He states the main findings of the analysis are as follows, noting, there are many additional details that are not documented in this presentation.

- 1) Analysis of precipitation records within the AOI from 1986 through 2015 indicate that the ongoing dry conditions actually date back to about 2007, with annual precipitation totals below the long-term average in seven of nine years since 2007.
- 2) Inspection of groundwater well hydrographs (plots of historical groundwater levels) generally displays the following:
 - a. Recovery during the early 1980s (which is generally attributed to the beginning of supplemental surface water deliveries via the Tehama-Colusa Canal and consequent reduction of groundwater pumping).
 - b. Stable trends from the mid 1980's to mid-2000.
 - c. Declining trends from the mid/late 2000 to the present time.
- 3) Current (Fall 2015) groundwater levels in most wells are at or near historical lows, even for wells with records pre-dating the start of supplemental water deliveries via the Tehama-Colusa Canal in the early 1980s.
- 4) The total land area within the AOI is about 155,000 acres. Of this, the agricultural area (nearly all of which is irrigated) has been relatively stable from 1990 through 2015 at between 90,000 acres and 95,000 acres. Additionally, about 58,000 acres are classified as native, with minor land areas classified as urban and streams.
- 5) Permanent cropping in the AOI has doubled, from about 23,000 acres in 1990 to 46,000 acres in 2015, offset by declines in grains and truck crops (tomatoes and melons). Most of these changes occurred in the areas with a mixed water supply or with groundwater only. Areas planted to other crops have remained fairly stable over time.

6) As expected, Net Recharge depends strongly on the availability of supplemental surface water, as summarized below (averages for the period 1990 through 2015):

- a. For the approximately 22,000 acres irrigated primarily with surface water, annual Net Recharge is about + 16,000 acre-feet, or +0.8 acre-feet per acre per year.
- b. For the approximately 50,000 acres irrigated with a mixed supply, annual Net Recharge is about -18,000 acre-feet, or -0.4 acre-feet per acre per year.
- c. For the approximately 21,000 acres irrigated primarily with groundwater, annual Net Recharge is about - 31,000 acre-feet, or -1.5 acre-feet per acre per year.

7) For the approximately 58,000 acres classified as native, average annual Net Recharge is about 21,000 acre-feet or 0.4 acre-feet per acre per year.

8) The recent, ongoing "Dry" period was defined as 2007 through 2015, inclusive, and the "Normal" period was defined as 1998 through 2006, inclusive. Annual Net Recharge for the AOI over the Normal period averaged +38,000 acre-feet compared to -25,000 acre-feet over the Dry period, indicating an average reduction in Net Recharge of about 63,000 AF per year between periods. This estimated reduction in Net Recharge is of the same order of magnitude as estimated changes in groundwater storage based on DWR groundwater levels.

9) About one-sixth of the reduction in Net Recharge between the Normal and Dry periods is associated with land use (primarily crop) changes, and five-sixths due to "other factors", primarily significantly below average precipitation.

10) "Drought" (beginning in 2007) has had the dominant effect on declining groundwater levels in the AOI, not land use changes.

11) Prognosis:

- a. Current groundwater conditions reflect the accumulation of nine years of generally dry conditions (as well as land use changes)
- b. If "Normal" conditions ensue beginning now (2015-16), it likely will require multiple years for groundwater levels to recover, depending primarily on precipitation amounts and patterns.
- c. The rate of recovery could be hastened by increasing use of supplemental surface water in the mixed use and groundwater use areas, which would allow groundwater pumping to be reduced.
- d. The rate of recovery will be slowed to the extent that recent trends toward relatively high water use crops continue.

Lengthy discussion is held, with no action taken.

Chair Carter adjourned the meeting at 2:24 p.m. to reconvene in Regular Session on January 26, 2016 at the hour of 9:00 a.m.

Denis J. Carter, Chair

Attest: Wendy G. Tyler,
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

BY _____
Ann Nordyke, Deputy Clerk